MICROCOPY RESOLUTION TEST CHART

# LARGE DIFFUSIVITY AND ASYMPTOTIC BEHAVIOR

## IN PARABOLIC SYSTEMS

by

Jack K. Hale

January 1985        LCDS Report #85-1

DTIC

Lefschetz Center for Dynamical Systems

LARGE DIFFUSIVITY AND ASYMPTOTIC BEHAVIOR

IN PARABOLIC SYSTEMS

by

Jack K. Hale

January 1985          LCDS Report #85-1

DTIC
ELECTE
S APR 0 1 1986
D
D

## REPORT DOCUMENTATION PAGE

| 1a. REPORT SECURITY CLASSIFICATION | 1b. RESTRICTIVE MARKINGS |
|---|---|
| UNCLASSIFIED | |

| 2a. SECURITY CLASSIFICATION AUTHORITY | 3. DISTRIBUTION/AVAILABILITY OF REPORT |
|---|---|
| | Approved for public release; distribution unlimited. |
| 2b. DECLASSIFICATION/DOWNGRADING SCHEDULE | |

| 4. PERFORMING ORGANIZATION REPORT NUMBER(S) | 5. MONITORING ORGANIZATION REPORT NUMBER(S) |
|---|---|
| LCDS #85-1 | AFOSR-TR- 86-0041 |

| 6a. NAME OF PERFORMING ORGANIZATION | 6b. OFFICE SYMBOL (If applicable) | 7a. NAME OF MONITORING ORGANIZATION |
|---|---|---|
| Brown University | | Air Force Office of Scientific Research |

| 6c. ADDRESS (City, State and ZIP Code) | 7b. ADDRESS (City, State and ZIP Code) |
|---|---|
| Lefschetz Center for Dynamical Systems Division of Applied Mathematics Providence RI 02912 | Directorate of Mathematical & Information Sciences, Bolling AFB DC 20332-6448 |

| 8a. NAME OF FUNDING/SPONSORING ORGANIZATION | 8b. OFFICE SYMBOL (If applicable) | 9. PROCUREMENT INSTRUMENT IDENTIFICATION NUMBER |
|---|---|---|
| AFOSR | NM | AFOSR-84-0376 |

| 8c. ADDRESS (City, State and ZIP Code) | 10. SOURCE OF FUNDING NOS. | | | |
|---|---|---|---|---|
| | PROGRAM ELEMENT NO. | PROJECT NO. | TASK NO. | WORK UNIT NO. |
| Bolling AFB DC 20332-6448 | 61102F | 2304 | A9 | |

**11. TITLE (Include Security Classification)**
LARGE DIFFUSIVITY AND ASYMPTOTIC BEHAVIOR IN PARABOLIC SYSTEMS

**12. PERSONAL AUTHOR(S)**
Jack K. Hale

| 13a. TYPE OF REPORT | 13b. TIME COVERED | | 14. DATE OF REPORT (Yr., Mo., Day) | 15. PAGE COUNT |
|---|---|---|---|---|
| Technical | FROM _____ | TO _____ | JAN 85 | 20 |

**16. SUPPLEMENTARY NOTATION**

| 17. | COSATI CODES | | 18. SUBJECT TERMS (Continue on reverse if necessary and identify by block number) |
|---|---|---|---|
| FIELD | GROUP | SUB. GR. | |
| | | | |
| | | | |

**19. ABSTRACT (Continue on reverse if necessary and identify by block number)**

For systems of reaction-diffusion equations with Neumann boundary conditions, it is shown that the solutions are asymptotic to the solutions of an ordinary differential equation if the diffusivity is large. The methods apply also to reaction-diffusion systems with time delays.

| 20. DISTRIBUTION/AVAILABILITY OF ABSTRACT | 21. ABSTRACT SECURITY CLASSIFICATION |
|---|---|
| UNCLASSIFIED/UNLIMITED ☒ SAME AS RPT. ☐ DTIC USERS ☐ | UNCLASSIFIED |

| 22a. NAME OF RESPONSIBLE INDIVIDUAL | 22b. TELEPHONE NUMBER (Include Area Code) | 22c. OFFICE SYMBOL |
|---|---|---|
| Dr. Marc Q. Jacobs | (202) 767-4940 | NM |

**DD FORM 1473, 83 APR**  EDITION OF 1 JAN 73 IS OBSOLETE.

LARGE DIFFUSIVITY AND ASYMPTOTIC BEHAVIOR

IN PARABOLIC SYSTEMS

by

Jack K. Hale

Lefschetz Center for Dynamical Systems
Division of Applied Mathematics
Brown University
Providence, Rhode Island  02912

January 1985

Large Diffusivity and Asymptotic Behavior

in Parabolic Systems

by

Jack K. Hale

## Abstract

For systems of reaction-diffusion equations with
Neumann boundary conditions, it is shown that the solutions
are asymptotic to the solutions of an ordinary differential
equation if the diffusivity is large. The methods apply
also to reaction-diffusion systems with time delays.

*Keywords: applied mathematics; differential equations*

## 1.  Introduction and Statement of Results.

Many models of chemical, biological and ecological problems involve systems of reaction-diffusion equations of the form

$$\partial u / \partial t = D\Delta u + f(u) \quad \text{in} \quad \Omega$$

(1.1)

$$\partial u / \partial n = 0 \quad \text{in} \quad \partial\Omega$$

where $\Omega$ is a bounded open set in $\mathbb{R}^N$ with $\partial\Omega$ smooth, $u \in \mathbb{R}^N$, $D = \text{diag}(d_1, \ldots, d_N)$, where each $d_j > 0$ is a constant and $f: \mathbb{R}^N \to \mathbb{R}^N$ is a $C^2$-function. Other types of boundary conditions may also occur.

In recent years, there have been many investigations devoted to the study of stable patterns for (1.1); that is, stable solutions which are spatially dependent (see, for example, [1-8]). For the understanding of how stable patterns are created, it is obviously of interest to characterize those situations for which stable patterns do not exist and, even more particularly, those systems for which the flow is essentially determined by the ordinary differential equation (ODE)

(1.2)                     $$du/dt = f(u).$$

This latter problem has been investigated by Conway, Hoff and Smoller in [9] under the assumption that there is an <u>invariant region</u> $\Sigma$ for (1.1). A set $\Sigma \subset \mathbb{R}^N$ is an

invariant region for (1.1) if, for any initial data $u_0$ with $u_0(x) \in \Sigma$ for all $x \in \Omega$, one has the solution $u(t,x)$ through $u_0$ at $t = 0$ also in $\Sigma$ for all $x \in \Omega$. In [10], [2], it is shown that an invariant region $\Sigma$ for (1.1) must be a rectangle in $\mathbb{R}^N$ if all the diffusion coefficients $d_j$ are distinct. If the diffusion coefficients are equal, then $\Sigma$ can be any convex set which is positively invariant for (1.2).

Suppose $\Sigma$ is an invariant region for (1.1), $M = \sup\{|\partial f(u)/\partial u| : u \in \Sigma\}$, $-\lambda$ is the first nonzero eigenvalue of the Laplacian with homogeneous Neumann boundary conditions on $\Omega$, $d = \min(d_1, \ldots, d_N)$, and $\sigma = d\lambda - M$. In [9], it was shown that $\sigma > 0$ implies the solutions of (1.1) with initial data in $\Sigma$ approach a solution of the ODE (1.2) as $t \to \infty$. Since $\lambda$ is inversely proportional to the squared diameter of $\Omega$, the hypothesis $\sigma > 0$ says that diffusion on the domain is fast relative to the reaction term $f$. In fact, the estimates in [9] show that the spatial inhomogeneities are quickly damped out if $\sigma$ is very large.

As remarked earlier, the hypothesis that $\Sigma$ be an invariant region for (1.1) severely limits the types of equations that can be considered. In fact, as pointed out by Smoller [2;p.212], the property that $\Sigma$ is an invariant region for (1.1) is not continuous with respect to the $d_j$. In fact, if $\Sigma$ is a convex positively invariant set for

the ODE (1.2) and each $d_j = 1$, $j = 1,2,\ldots,N$, then $\Sigma$ is invariant for (1.1). However, if $\Sigma$ is not a rectangle, then it is not invariant for (1.1) unless the $d_j$ remain equal.

It is the purpose of this paper to begin an investigation of the behavior of the solutions of (1.1) when the constant $d\lambda$ is large and the equation (1.1) may not have an invariant region in $\mathbb{R}^N$. The methods will use properties of the flow defined by (1.1) in function space and will be applicable to situations where the equation with no diffusion is a retarded functional differential equation or a differential equation with delays. In this latter case, it is almost impossible to have an equation with an invariant region.

We now describe the results in some detail. Let $X = L^2(\Omega,\mathbb{R}^N)$, $D(A) = \{\phi \in W^{2,2}(\Omega,\mathbb{R}^N): \partial\phi/\partial n = 0 \text{ on } \partial\Omega\}$, $A = -\Delta : D(A) \rightarrow X$. In the usual way, one defines the fractional power spaces $X^\alpha$ using the operator $A$. If $3/4 < \alpha < 1$, then it is known that $X^\alpha \subset W^{1,2}(\Omega,\mathbb{R}^N) \cap L^\infty(\Omega,\mathbb{R}^N)$ with continuous inclusion. One can then show (see, for example, Henry [10,p.75]) that, for any $u_0 \in X^\alpha$, $\alpha > 3/4$, there is a unique solution $u(t,\cdot,u_0) \in X^\alpha$ of (1.1) through $u_0$ at $t = 0$ which is continuous in $t,u_0$.

A set $\mathscr{A} \subset X^\alpha$ is a <u>compact attractor for (1.1)</u> if $\mathscr{A}$ is compact, invariant and there is a neighborhood $U$ of $\mathscr{A}$ such that the $\omega$-limit set of $U$ is $\mathscr{A}$. By the $\omega$-limit

set  $\omega(U)$  of  U, we mean

$$\omega(U) = \bigcap_{\tau \geq 0} C\ell \bigcup_{t \geq \tau} u(t, \cdot, U) \ .$$

In a similar way one can define a <u>compact attractor in</u>  $\mathbb{R}^N$ for the ODE (1.2).

If  $\mathscr{A}$  is a compact attractor for the ODE (1.2), then it can be considered as a subset of the constant functions in  $X^\alpha$  and it will be a compact invariant set for the PDE (1.1).  However, it need not be an attractor without some conditions on  D  and  $\Omega$.  If  $d = \min\{d_j, j=1,2,\ldots,N\}$  and  $-\lambda$  is the first nonzero eigenvalue of  $\Delta$  with Neumann conditions, then the main result of the paper is the following

<u>Theorem 1.1.</u>    <u>Suppose</u>  $\mathscr{A}$  <u>is a compact attractor for the</u> <u>ODE (1.2).  Then there exists a</u>  $\delta > 0$  <u>such that</u>  $\mathscr{A}$  <u>con-</u> <u>sidered as a subset of the constant functions in</u>  $X^\alpha$,  $\alpha > 3/4$, <u>is a compact attractor for the PDE (1.1) if</u>  $d\lambda > \delta$.  <u>More precisely, there is a neighborhood</u>  V  <u>of</u>  $\mathscr{A}$  <u>in</u>  $X^\alpha$  <u>and constants</u>  $K > 0, c > 0$  <u>such that, for any</u>  $u_0 \in V$, <u>the solution</u>  $u(t, \cdot, u_0)$  <u>of (1.1) through</u>  $u_0$  <u>at</u>  $t = 0$  <u>satisfies</u>

$$|u(t, \cdot, u_0) - \bar{u}(t)|_{X^\alpha} \leq Ke^{-ct}, \ t \geq 0$$

<u>where</u>  $\bar{u}(t) = |\Omega|^{-1} \int_\Omega u(t,x,u_0) dx$  <u>and</u>  $\bar{u}(t)$  <u>satisfies</u> <u>the equation</u>

$$d\overline{u}(t)/dt = f(\overline{u}(t)) + g(t,u_0)$$

<u>where</u> $|g(t,u_0)| \leq Ke^{-ct}$, $t \geq 0$.

The technical part of the theorem states that the solution $u(t,\cdot,u_0)$ approaches its average value $\overline{u}(t)$ exponentially as $t \to \infty$ in the space $X^\alpha$. Since $\alpha > 3/4$, this implies, in particular, that the solution approaches $\overline{u}(t)$ exponentially as $t \to \infty$ in $L^\infty(\Omega,\mathbb{R}^N)$. The conclusions in Theorem 1.1 are the same as the ones in Conway, Hoff and Smoller [9] mentioned above.

The proof of the theorem is given in Section 2 and uses elementary properties of Liapunov functions for ODE's and a special decomposition of (1.1). In Section 3, we consider invariant regions and show how the method presented here gives the same qualitative results as in [9], but the rates of decay are not as sharp. Generalizations to functional differential equations are given in Section 4.

2. <u>Proof of Theorem 1.1.</u>

If $M \subset \mathbb{R}^N$ is a given set and $x \in \mathbb{R}^N$ is given, we let $d(x,M)$ denote the distance from $x$ to $M$. Suppose $\mathscr{A}$ is a compact attractor for the ODE (1.2). From the book of Yoshizawa [11,p.111], there is a neighborhood $U$ of $\mathscr{A}$ and a Lipschitz continuous function $V: U \to \mathbb{R}$ such that, for any $x \in U$,

(i)    $V(x) = 0$  if  $x \in \mathscr{A}$

(ii)   $a(d(x,\mathscr{A})) \leq V(x) \leq b(d(x,\mathscr{A}))$  where  $a(r)$  is continuous, nondecreasing,  $a(r) > 0$  if  $r > 0$, and  $b(r)$ is continuous,  $b(0) = 0$.

(iii) $\dot{V}_{(1.2)}(x) \leq - V(x)$  where
$\dot{V}_{(1.2)}(x) = \overline{\lim}_{h \to 0} \, h^{-1}[V(u(h,x)) - V(x)]$ with  $u(t,x)$ being the solution of (1.2) through  $x$  at  $t = 0$.

In the following, for any  $c > 0$, we let  $V_c = \{x \in U: V(x) < c\}$,  $\overline{V}_c = C\ell V_c$.  From property (ii) above,  $\overline{V}_c$  is compact for any  $c > 0$.

Let  $W \subset X^\alpha$  be the linear subspace consisting of the constant functions,  $X^\alpha = W \oplus W_\alpha^\perp$,  $u = v + w$  where $v \in W$, $w \in W_\alpha^\perp$,

$$(2.1) \qquad v = |\Omega|^{-1}\int_\Omega u(x)\,dx, \qquad \int_\Omega w(x)\,dx = 0$$

We can identify  $W$  with  $\mathbb{R}^N$  and therefore will consider $v$  as an element of  $W$  as well as a vector in  $\mathbb{R}^N$.

Suppose  $u(t,\cdot)$  is a solution of (1.1) and let $u(t,\cdot) = v(t) + w(t,\cdot)$, $v(t) \in W$, $w(t,\cdot) \in W_\alpha^\perp$.  Then

$$dv/dt = P(v,w)$$

$$(2.2)$$

$$\partial w/\partial t = D\Delta w + Q(v,w)$$

where

$$(2.3) \qquad P(v,\phi) = |\Omega|^{-1}\int_\Omega f(v + \phi(x))\,dx$$

$$Q(v,\phi)(x) = f(v + \phi(x)) - |\Omega|^{-1}\int_\Omega f(v + \phi(y))\,dy.$$

Observe that

(2.4) $$P(v,0) = f(v), \quad Q(v,0) = 0 .$$

Also, for $\psi \in W_\alpha^1$, we have

$$[Q_\phi(v,\phi)\psi](x) = f'(v+\phi(x))\psi(x) - |\Omega|^{-1}\int_\Omega f'(v+\phi(y))\psi(y)\,dy$$

$$= [f'(v+\phi(x))-f'(v)]\psi(x) + f'(v)\psi(x)$$

$$- |\Omega|^{-1}\int_\Omega [f'(v+\phi(y)) - f'(v)]\psi(y)\,dy$$

since $\int_\Omega \psi = 0$. Since $\alpha > 3/4$, $\phi \in X^\alpha$ implies $\phi \in L^\infty(\Omega, \mathbb{R}^N)$ and there is a constant $k$ such that $|\phi|_{L^\infty} \leq k|\phi|_{X^\alpha}$. Let $M_c = \sup\{|f'(v)| : v \in \bar{V}_c\}$. For any $c_1 < c$, there is a $\delta > 0$ such that $|\phi|_{X^\alpha} < \delta$, $v \in V_{c_1}$ implies $v + \phi(x) \in \bar{V}_c$ for $x \in \Omega$. Since $f$ is a $C^2$-function, it follows that there is a constant $N_c$ such that

$$|f'(v+\phi(x)) - f'(v)| \leq N_c|\phi|_{L^\infty} \leq N_c k|\phi|_{X^\alpha}$$

$v \in V_{c_1}$, $|\phi|_{X^\alpha} < \delta$. Therefore,

$$|[Q_\phi(v,\phi)\psi](x) - f'(v)\psi(x)| \leq 2k^2 N_c|\phi|_{X^\alpha}|\psi|_{X^\alpha}$$

which implies

(2.5) $$|Q_\phi(v,\phi)\psi - f'(v)\psi|_X \leq 2k^2 N_c|\Omega|^{\frac{1}{2}}|\phi|_{X^\alpha}|\psi|_{X^\alpha}$$

Relation (2.5) and the triangle inequality imply that

(2.6) $$|Q(v,\phi)| \leq \theta|\phi|_{X^\alpha}$$

$$\theta = M_c k + 2k^2 N_c|\Omega|^{1/2}\delta$$

in the set $v \in V_{c_1}$, $|\phi|_{X^\alpha} < \delta$.

Let $T(t)$ be the semigroup on $W_\alpha^\perp$, $0 \leq \alpha < 1$, generated by the equation

$$\partial w/\partial t = D\Delta w \qquad \text{in } \Omega$$

$$\partial w/\partial n = 0 \qquad \text{on } \partial\Omega.$$

Now, fix $\alpha > 3/4$. There is a constant $k_1 > 0$ such that

(2.7)
$$|T(t)w|_{X^\alpha} \leq k_1 e^{-d\lambda t}|w|_{X^\alpha}, \quad t \geq 0, \quad w \in W_\alpha^\perp$$

$$|T(t)w|_{X^\alpha} \leq k_1 e^{-d\lambda t}t^{-\alpha}|w|_X, \quad t \geq 0, \quad w \in W^\perp$$

We can now use the variation of constants formula to rewrite the initial value problem for (2.2) as

$$dv/dt = f(v) + [P(v,w) - f(v)], \quad v(0) = v_0,$$

(2.8)
$$w(t) = T(t)w_0 + \int_0^t T(t-s)[Q(v(s),w(s))]ds.$$

For any $c_1 < c$, choose $\delta$ as before so that $|\phi|_{X^\alpha} < \delta$, $v \in V_{c_1}$ implies $v + \phi(x) \in \bar{V}_c$. Choose a constant $\sigma$, $0 < \sigma < \lambda$, let $k_2$ be the Lipschitz constant for $V$ on $\bar{V}_c$, $L = \int_0^\infty s^{-\alpha}e^{-(1-\sigma/\lambda)s}ds$ and let $V(v) \geq p > 0$ for $v \in \bar{V}_c \setminus V_{c_1}$.

With the constants as in (2.6), choose $d\lambda > 0$ and $\eta < \delta$ so that

$$\zeta \overset{\text{def}}{=} k_1 L \theta (d\lambda)^{\alpha-1} < 1$$

(2.9)
$$p - k_2 M_c k\eta > 0$$

Let $v(t), w(t)$ satisfy (2.8). If $v(s) \in V_{c_1}$, $|w(s)|_{X^\alpha} < \eta$ for $0 \le s \le t$, then relation (2.6) implies that

$$\dot{V}(v(t)) \le - V(v(t)) + k_2 |P(v(t),w(t)) - f(v(t))|$$

$$\le - V(v(t)) + k_2 M_c k |w(t)|_{X^\alpha}$$

$$\le - V(v(t)) + k_2 M_c k\eta$$

$$z(t) \le k_1 e^{-d(\lambda-\sigma)t} z(0) + k_1 \theta \int_0^t (t-s)^{-\alpha} e^{-d(\lambda-\sigma)(t-s)} z(s)\,ds$$

where $z(t) = |w(t)|_{X^\alpha} \exp d\sigma t$

If $y(t) = \sup\{z(s), 0 \le s \le t\}$, then

$$z(t) \le k_1 e^{-d(\lambda-\sigma)t} z(0) + \zeta y(t).$$

This implies that $y(t) \le k_1 (1-\zeta)^{-1} z(0)$. This implies that

$$|w(t)|_{X^\alpha} \le \frac{k_1}{1-\zeta} e^{-d\sigma t} |w_0|_{X^\alpha}$$

Thus, we see that

$$\dot{V}(v(t)) \leq - V(v(t)) + k_2 M_c k\eta$$

(2.10)

$$|w(t)|_{x^\alpha} \leq \frac{k_1}{1-\zeta} e^{-d\sigma t} |w_0|_{x^\alpha}$$

if it is assumed that $v(s) \in V_{c_1}$, $|w(s)|_{x^\alpha} < \eta$.

Now choose $\delta_1$ so that $k_1(1-\zeta)^{-1}\delta_1 < \eta$. If $v_0 \in V_{c_1}$, $|w_0|_{x^\alpha} < \delta_1$ then relations (2.9), (2.10) imply that $v(t) \in V_{c_1}$, $|w(t)|_{x^\alpha} < \eta$ for all $t \geq 0$.

Relation (2.10) also implies that $|w(t)|_{x^\alpha}$ approaches zero exponentially as $t \to \infty$. Thus, the $\omega$-limit set of every solution of (2.2) with initial value $v_0 \in V_{c_1}$ and $|w_0|_{x^\alpha} < \delta_1$ must have $w = 0$; that is, the $\omega$-limit set of any solution of (1.1) with $u_0 = v_0 + w_0$ satisfying the above condition must lie in the set $W \cap V_{c_1}$. Furthermore

$$dv(t)/dt = f(v(t)) + [P(v(t),w(t)) - f(v(t))]$$

and the second term approaches zero exponentially as $t \to \infty$. Therefore, the limit set of $v$ must be a union of invariant sets of $dv/dt = f(v)$ which belong to $V_{c_1}$ (see Yoshizawa [11]). However, all such invariant sets must belong to $\mathscr{A}$. This completes the proof of the theorem.

3. The Case of an Invariant Region.

If equation (1.1) has an invariant region $\Sigma$ in $\mathbb{R}^N$, and $v \in \Sigma$, $\phi \in W_\alpha^1$, $v + \phi(x) \in \Sigma$ for $x \in \Omega$, then

Conway, Hoff and Smoller [9] prove a more global version of
Theorem 1.1. In fact, assuming the initial data $u_0 \in$
$C^{k,1}(\Omega, \mathbb{R}^N)$, $u_0(x) \in \Sigma$ for $x \in \Omega$, they prove that the
solution $u(t,x)$ through $u_0$ satisfies $|\nabla_x u(t,\cdot)|_{L^2}$,
$|u(t,\cdot) - \bar{u}(t)|_{L^\infty} \to 0$ as $t \to \infty$ exponentially with
exponent $\sigma$. To obtain the $L^2$ estimate on $\nabla_x u$ and an
$L^2$ estimate on $u(t,\cdot) - \bar{u}(t)$ is not difficult using simple
integration by parts and the variational characterization
of the first eigenvalue of $\Delta$. The $L^\infty$ estimate uses more
sophisticated properties of parabolic equations and the
reader is referred to [9] for details.

The method used in the previous section can be
applied to this case but the exponential estimate is not
as precise. We briefly indicate how this can be accom-
plished. If $u_0 \in X^\alpha$, $\alpha > 3/4$ and $u_0(x) \in \Sigma$ for $x \in \Omega$,
then the solution $u(t,x)$ through $u_0$ remains in $\Sigma$ for
all $t \geq 0$. If $\phi \in W_\alpha^1$, $v + \phi(x) \in \Sigma$ for $x \in \Omega$, then
one easily shows that $|Q_\phi(v,\phi)| \leq 2|\Omega|^{\frac{1}{2}}kM$ where $k$ is such
that $|\phi|_{L^\infty} \leq k|\phi|_{X^\alpha}$ and $M = \sup\{|f'(v)|, v \in \Sigma\}$. Using
the variation of constants formula

$$w(t) = e^{D\Delta t}w_0 + \int_0^t e^{D\Delta(t-s)}Q(v(s),w(s))ds$$

and letting $k_3 = 2k_1|\Omega|^{\frac{1}{2}}$, one obtains

$$|w(t)|_{X^\alpha} \leq k_1 e^{-d\lambda t}|w_0|_{X^\alpha}$$
$$+ 2k_3 kM \int_0^t e^{-d\lambda(t-s)}(t-s)^{-\alpha}|w(s)|_{X^\alpha}ds$$

Let $z(t) = |w(t)|_{X^\alpha} \exp \beta t$, $d\lambda - \beta > 0$, $y(t) = \sup_{0 \le s \le t} z(s)$. Then

$$z(t) \le k_1 z(0) + 2k_3 kM \int_0^t e^{-(d\lambda-\beta)(t-s)} (t-s)^{-\alpha} z(s) ds$$

$$\le k_1 z(0) + 2k_3 kM \int_0^\infty e^{-(d\lambda-\beta)s} s^{-\alpha} ds \, y(t)$$

It is clear that one can choose $\beta, d$ so that the coefficient $\theta$ of $y(t)$ is $<1$. Then,

$$|w(t)|_{X^\alpha} \le k_1 (1-\theta)^{-1} e^{-\beta t} |w_0|_X, \quad t \ge 0 .$$

This estimate implies that $|w(t)|_{X^\alpha}$ and so $|w(t)|_{L^\infty} \to 0$ exponentially as $t \to \infty$. This also implies that $P(v(t),w(t)) - f(v(t)) \to 0$ exponentially and we obtain all of the conclusions of Theorem 1.1. Of course, the exponential estimate is not a good one.


4. <u>Functional Differential Equations.</u>

Suppose $r > 0$ is a given constant, $C([-r,0],\mathbb{R}^N)$, $f:C([-r,0],\mathbb{R}^N) \to \mathbb{R}^N$ is a given $C^2$-function and consider the equation

$$\partial u(t,x)/\partial t = D\Delta u(t,x) + f(u_t(\cdot,x)) \quad \text{in} \quad \Omega$$

(4.1)

$$\partial u(t,x)/\partial n = 0 \qquad \text{on} \quad \partial\Omega$$

where $u_t(\theta,x) = u(t+\theta,x)$, $\theta \in [-r,0]$, $x \in \Omega$.

As before, let $X = L^2(\Omega, \mathbb{R}^N)$, define the spaces $X^\alpha$ and choose $3/4 < \alpha < 1$. For any $\phi \in C([-r,0], X^\alpha)$ with $\partial\phi(\theta, x)/\partial n = 0$, $-r \leq \theta \leq 0$, one can define a solution $u(t,x,\phi)$ of (4.1) on an interval $-r \leq t < \alpha$, $\alpha > 0$, with $u(\theta, x, \phi) = \phi(\theta, x)$, $-r \leq \theta \leq 0$. This solution $u(t, \cdot, \phi)$ will be in $X^\alpha$, it will be continuous in $t, \phi$ and continuously differentiable in $\phi$. The proof of this fact follows along the lines of Henry [10] or Travis and Webb [11].

If we let $T(t,\phi) \in C([-r,0], X^\alpha)$ be defined by $T(t,\phi)(\theta) = u(t+\theta, \cdot, \phi)$, $-r \leq \theta \leq 0$, then $T(t, \cdot)$ defines a local semiflow on $C([-r,0], X^\alpha)$. Positive, negative and complete orbits are defined in the usual way as well as $\alpha$-limit sets, $\omega$-limit sets, invariant sets and compact attractors.

If $\mathscr{A} \subset C([-r,0], \mathbb{R}^N)$ is a compact attractor for the retarded functional differential equation (RFDE),

$$(4.2) \qquad\qquad dv(t)/dt = f(v_t(\cdot))$$

then there is a Liapunov function $V$ defined in a neighborhood of $\mathscr{A}$ and satisfying conditions (i)-(iii) of Section 2 (see Yoshizawa [11]).

If $\psi \in C([-r,0], \mathbb{R}^N)$ and $v(t,\psi)$ is the solution of (4.2) through $\psi$ at $t = 0$ and $\tilde{\psi}(\theta)(x) = \psi(x)$, $-r \leq \theta \leq 0$, $x \in \Omega$, then $\tilde{\psi} \in C([-r,0], X^\alpha)$ and $u(t,x,\tilde{\psi}) = v(t,\psi)$, $x \in \Omega$, is the solution of (4.1) through $\tilde{\psi}$. Thus, every

solution of the RFDE (4.2) is a solution of (4.1).

To obtain the analogue of Theorem 1.1 for (4.1), we make the decomposition of $X^\alpha$ as $X^\alpha = U \oplus U_\alpha^\perp$ as was done in Section 2, where $U$ is identified with $\mathbb{R}^N$ and $u = v + w$, $v \in U$, $w \in U_\alpha^\perp$ implies $v = |\Omega|^{-1} \int_\Omega u(x)\,dx$. This decomposition of $X^\alpha$ induces in a natural way a decomposition of $C([-r,0],X^\alpha)$ as

$$C([-r,0],X^\alpha) = C([-r,0],\mathbb{R}^N) \oplus C([-r,0],U_\alpha^\perp)$$

$$\phi = \xi + \psi, \quad \xi(\theta) = |\Omega|^{-1} \int_\Omega \phi(\theta,x)\,dx$$

If $u$ is a solution of (4.1) with initial value $u_0$ and

$$u(t,x) = v(t) + w(t,x)$$

$$v(t) = |\Omega|^{-1} \int_\Omega u(t,x)\,dx$$

then it follows from (4.1) that

(4.3) $$\dot{v}(t) = P(v_t,w_t)$$

(4.4) $$\dot{w}(t) = D\Delta w(t) + Q(v_t,w_t)$$

where the initial values $v_0, w_0$ of $v,w$ are given by

$$v_0(\theta) = |\Omega|^{-1} \int_\Omega u_0(\theta,x)\,dx$$

(4.5)

$$w_0(\theta,x) = u_0(\theta,x) - v(\theta), \quad -r \leq \theta \leq 0,$$

and

$$P(\xi,\psi) = |\Omega|^{-1} \int_{\Omega} f(\xi + \psi(\cdot,x)) dx$$

(4.6)
$$Q(\xi,\psi)(x) = f(\xi + \psi(\cdot,x)) - P(\xi,\psi)$$

$$\xi \in C([-r,0],\mathbb{R}^N), \quad \psi \in C([-r,0],U_{\alpha}^{\perp}) \;.$$

Equation (4.4) with initial data $w_0$ can be written as

$$w(t,x) = e^{D\Delta t} w_0(0,x) + \int_0^t e^{D\Delta(t-s)} Q(v_s,w_s) ds, \; t \geq 0$$

$$w(t,x) = w_0(t,x), \quad t \leq 0 ,$$

or, for $-r \leq \theta \leq 0$,

$$w(t+\theta,x) = e^{D\Delta(t+\theta)} w_0(0,x) + \int_0^{t+\theta} e^{D\Delta(t+\theta-s)} Q(v_s,w_s) ds, \; t+\theta \geq 0,$$

$$w(t+\theta,x) = w_0(t+\theta,x), \quad t + \theta < 0$$

If we define the $n \times n$ matrix function $X(\theta)$ and semi-group $S(t)$ by

$$X_0(\theta) = 0 \quad \text{for} \quad \theta < 0, \quad X_0(0) = I, \text{ the identity}$$

$$[S(t)w_0](\theta,x) = e^{D\Delta(t+\theta)} w_0(0,x), \quad t + \theta \geq 0$$

$$= w_0(t + \theta,x), \quad t + \theta < 0$$

then the above formula for the solution $w$ becomes

(4.7)
$$w_t(\theta,x) = [S(t)w_0](\theta,x)$$

$$+ \int_0^t [e^{D\Delta(t-s)} X_0](\theta) Q(v_s,w_s) ds$$

for all $t \geq 0$, $-r \leq \theta \leq 0$. We will write this last equation as

(4.8) $\qquad w_t = S(t)w_0 + \int_0^t e^{D(t-s)} X_0 Q(v_s, w_s) ds,$

$$t \geq 0$$

always remembering that it is evaluated as in (4.7).

Using the estimates (2.7), equations (4.3), (4.8) and the same type of arguments as in the proof of Theorem 1.1, one obtains the following result.

Theorem 4.1.  Suppose  $\mathscr{A} \subset C([-r,0], \mathbb{R}^N)$  is a compact attractor for the RFDE (4.2).  Then there is a  $\delta > 0$  such that  $\mathscr{A}$  considered as a subset of  $C([-r,0], X^\alpha)$,  $\alpha > 3/4$, is a compact attractor for (4.1) if  $d\lambda > \delta$.  More precisely, there is a neighborhood  $V$  of  $\mathscr{A}$  in  $C([-r,0], X^\alpha)$  and constants  $K > 0$, $c > 0$  such that, for any  $u_0 \in V$,  the solution  $u(t, x, u_0)$, $t \geq -r$,  of (4.1) with  $u(\theta, x, u_0) = u_0(\theta, x)$, $-r \leq \theta \leq 0$  satisfies

$$|u(t, \cdot, u_0) - \bar{u}(t)|_{X^\alpha} \leq Ke^{-ct}, \quad t \geq 0$$

where  $\bar{u}(t) = |\Omega|^{-1} \int_\Omega u(t,x) dx$, $t \geq -r$  and  $\bar{u}(t)$  satisfies the equation

$$d\bar{u}(t)/dt = f(\bar{u}_t) + g(t, u_0), \quad t \geq 0$$

where  $|g(t, u_0)| \leq Ke^{-ct}$, $t \geq 0$.

5. Examples.

Examples in ordinary differential equations are very easy to obtain. Conway, Hoff and Smoller [9] have several interesting ones for the case in which there is an invariant region $\Sigma$. Any two species Volterra-Lotka model for which the ode's have a unique stable limit cycle in the positive quadrant $\mathbb{R}^2_+$ would have a compact attractor $\mathscr{A}$ in $\mathbb{R}^2_+$. Therefore, this model with large diffusion would have the same attractor $\mathscr{A}$ in the function space.

As remarked earlier, it is almost impossible to have an invariant region when the equation without diffusion is an RFDE. Therefore, we give an example illustrating an implication of the theory for this case.

Consider the scalar differential difference equation

$$(5.1) \qquad \dot{v}(t) = -(\frac{\pi}{2} + \mu)v(t-1)[1 + v(t)]$$

in a neighborhood of $\mu = 0$, $v = 0$. Zero is always a solution of this equation. Also, for $\mu < 0$, the origin is asymptotically stable. At $\mu = 0$, the linearized equation has two eigenvalues on the imaginary axis with the remaining ones having negative real parts. For $\mu > 0$ there is a Hopf bifurcation to a stable periodic orbit. (See, for example, Hale [13], Chow and Mallet-Paret [14], Stech [15].) Therefore, there is a $\mu_0 > 0$ and a neighborhood $W$ of zero in $C([-1,0],\mathbb{R})$ such that (5.1) has a compact

attractor $\mathcal{A}_\mu$ in $W$ and $\mathcal{A}_\mu = \{0\}$ for $-\mu_0 \leq \mu \leq 0$ and $\mathcal{A}_\mu = W^u_\mu(0) \cup \gamma_\mu$ for $0 < \mu \leq \mu_0$, where $\gamma_\mu$ is the periodic orbit obtained from the Hopf bifurcation and $W^u_\mu(0)$ is the unstable manifold of the zero solution.

Theorem 4.1 implies that $\mathcal{A}_\mu$ is an attractor for the equation

$$\partial u(t,x)/\partial t = d\Delta u(t-x) - (\frac{\pi}{2} + \mu) u(t-1,x) [1+u(t,x)] \quad \text{in } \Omega$$

$$\partial u(t,x)/\partial n = 0 \quad \text{on } \partial\Omega$$

in $C([-1,0,X^\alpha)$, $\alpha > 3/4$, if $d\lambda > \delta$ where $\delta$ is sufficiently large. Furthermore, the estimates in Theorem 4.1 show, in particular, that the orbit $\gamma_\mu$ is asymptotically orbitally stable in $C([-1,0],X^\alpha)$ if $d\lambda > \delta$.

It is clear that the above remarks remain valid for any RFDE in a neighborhood of a Hopf bifurcation. In particular, a stable Hopf bifurcation remains stable if $d\lambda$ is sufficiently large which is a result previously obtained by Yoshida [16].

## References

[1]     R. Aris, The Mathematical Theory of Diffusion and
        Reaction in Permeable Catalysts, Clarendon Press,
        Oxford, 1975.

[2]     J. Smoller, Shock Waves and Reaction-Diffusion
        Equations, Springer-Verlag, 1982.

[3]     J. Auchmuty and G. Nicolis,  "Dissipative Structures,
        Catastrophes and Pattern Formation," Proc. Nat.
        Acad. Sci., U.S.A. 71(1974), 2748-2751.

[4]     P. Fife, "Pattern Formation in Reacting and Diffusing
        Systems," J. Chem. Phys., 64(1976), 554-564.

[5]     P. Fitzhugh, "Mathematic Models of Excitation and
        Propagation in Nerves," Biological Engineering,
        H.P. Schwann, Ed., McGraw-Hill, 1969.

[6]     L. Howard and N. Kopell, "Plane Wave Solutions to
        Reaction-Diffusion Equations," Studies in Appl.
        Math., 52(1973), 291-328.

[7]     R. May, Stability and Complexity in Model Ecosystems,
        Princeton Univ. Press, 1974.

[8]     H.G. Othmer, "Current Problems in Pattern Formation,"
        Lecture Notes in Math. and Life Sci., Vol. 9, 1977.

[9]     E. Conway, D. Hoff and J. Smoller, "Large Time
        Behavior of Solutions of Systems of Nonlinear
        Reaction-Diffusion Equations," SIAM J. Appl. Math.,
        35(1978),1-16.

[10]    D. Henry, Geometric Theory of Semilinear Parabolic
        Equations, Lecture Notes in Math., Vol. 840, Springer-
        Verlag, 1981.

[11]    T. Yoshizawa, Stability Theory by Liapunov's Second
        Method, Math. Soc. of Japan, Tokyo, 1966.

[12]    C.C. Travis and G.F. Webb, "Existence and Stability
        for Partial Functional Differential Equations,"
        Trans. Am. Math. Soc., 200(1974), 394-418.

[13]    J.K. Hale, Theory of Functional Differential Equations,
        Springer-Verlag, 1977.

[14]    S.-N. Chow and J. Mallet-Paret, "Integral Averaging
        and Hopf Bifurcation," J. Differential Eqns., 26(1977),
        112-159.

[15]    H.W. Stech, Hopf Bifurcation Calculations for
        Functional Differential Equations," Preprint, 1983.

[16]    K. Yoshida, "The Hopf Bifurcation and its Stability
        for Semilinear Diffusion Equations with Time Delay
        Arising in Ecology," Hiroshima Math. J., 12(1982),
        321-348.

# END

# FILMED

5-86

# DTIC